**CyberSecDome Open Call Proposal Template**

**Round 2**

Delete Instructions Before Submission

**Full Deployment and Validation of the Final Integrated CyberSecDome Prototype**

Table of Contents

[Introductory Guidance 1](#_Toc204071365)

[Important Instructions 1](#_Toc204071366)

[Guidance on Using Generative AI Tools 1](#_Toc204071367)

[1 General Information 2](#_Toc204071368)

[2 Proposal Summary 2](#_Toc204071369)

[3 Applicant(s)Details 2](#_Toc204071370)

[4 Relevance & Alignment 2](#_Toc204071371)

[5 Excellence 3](#_Toc204071372)

[6 Impact 3](#_Toc204071373)

[7 Implementation 3](#_Toc204071374)

[7.1.1 Work Plan and Structure: 3](#_Toc204071375)

[7.1.2 Resource Allocation and Budget: 4](#_Toc204071376)

[7.1.3 Risk Management: 4](#_Toc204071377)

[7.1.4 Management and Coordination: 4](#_Toc204071378)

[8 Cost Effectiveness 4](#_Toc204071379)

[9 Budget Overview 4](#_Toc204071380)

[10 Ethical and Legal Considerations 5](#_Toc204071381)

[Appendices 5](#_Toc204071382)

|  |
| --- |
| Introductory GuidanceThis template is intended for candidates contesting in The CyberSecDome Open Call Round 2. It has been designed to assist you in formulating a thorough proposal that encompasses all required aspects for assessment, in accordance with Horizon Europe RIA and IA criteria**.**Applicants must structure their proposal to address the following evaluation criteria, which will be scored individually:1. Relevance & Alignment (25%)
2. Excellence (25%)
3. Impact (25%)
4. Implementation (15%)
5. Cost Effectiveness (10%)

Delete Instructions Before Submission**Maximum Page Limit:** 30 pages (excluding appendices). No minimum page limit is imposed, but brevity, clarity, and relevance are strongly encouraged.. |

|  |
| --- |
| Important Instructions1. **Font and Formatting:**
	* **Font:** Calibri, size 11.
	* **Spacing**: Single line, with 6pt paragraph spacing.
	* **Margins:** Minimum of 15 mm on all sides.
	* **Language:** Proposals must be written in English.
2. **Submission:**
	* Use this template as instructed.
	* Proposals exceeding the page limit will have additional pages ignored during evaluation.
	* Submit via the designated online portal before the deadline
	* Remember to delete all instructions; templates and examples provided in this document before submission.
	* Save your document in PDF format before final submission
 |

|  |
| --- |
| Guidance on Using Generative AI ToolsApplicants may use generative AI tools to assist in the preparation of the proposal, provided that:* All AI-generated content is reviewed for accuracy and compliance with intellectual property regulations.
* Any sources or citations generated by AI tools are double-checked for correctness and proper attribution.
* Applicants remain fully responsible for the proposal content, including acknowledging any limitations or biases introduced by AI tools.
 |

# General Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Proposal Title: |  |
| Acronym: |  |
| Lead Applicant\*: |  |
| Contact Details: |  |

*\*In case of consortium please provide the name of the proposal coordinator*

# Proposal Summary

|  |
| --- |
| *Brief summary of objectives, domain, expected outcomes, and relation to CyberSecDome goals. Max 1000 characters* |

# Applicant(s)Details

*Applicant(s) Administrative information (including the consortium members -if applicable) is provided in the online application form system (Link). A summary list should also be provided in the table below.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Participant No\* | Participant organisation name | Country |
| 1. (Coordinator)
 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*\*Please use the same participant numbering and name as that used in the administrative proposal forms*

# Relevance & Alignment

|  |
| --- |
| *Explain how the proposed use case aligns with the CyberSecDome objectives and architecture.**Describe its relevance to the topic scope, integrated prototype validation, and targeted domains.**(Evaluation Criterion: Relevance & Alignment – 25%).* |

# Excellence

|  |
| --- |
| *Describe project objectives, innovation aspects, and technical/scientific approach.**Explain methodological soundness and originality.**(Evaluation Criterion: Excellence – 25%).* |

# Impact

|  |
| --- |
| *Define expected technical, societal, or commercial impact.**Describe scalability, sustainability, and uptake potential.**Include plans for dissemination and exploitation.**(Evaluation Criterion: Impact – 25%).* |

# Implementation

|  |
| --- |
| *Present the implementation plan, milestones, and timeline.**Detail team structure, roles, and responsibilities.**Address risks and mitigation strategies.**(Evaluation Criterion: Implementation – 15%).* |

### Work Plan and Structure:

* *Present the overall work plan, including a Gantt chart of timelines and milestones.*
* *Include detailed work package descriptions with tasks, deliverables, and interdependencies.*
* *Feel free to use* Table 1 *which provides a holistic view of all elements in WPx. It includes tasks, deliverables, and milestones in a unified format, ensuring clarity and consistency.*

Table 1 WPx overview

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **WP [XX] – [Title of WP]** | **Duration in Months: [Mxx – Mxy]** |
| Lead Partner: [ACRONYM] | Contributors [ACRONYM 1, ACRONYM2] |
| **Objectives** |
| *• OBJ1.x**• …**• OBJ1.y* |
| **Tasks** |
| **Tx.x – “Task Title”** | Lead: [ACRONYM] , Contribution: [ACRONYM1, ACRONYM 2] |
| *[Task Description]* |
| **Tx.y – “Task Title”** | Lead: [ACRONYM], Contribution: [ACRONYM1, ACRONYM 2] |
| *[Task Description]* |
| **Deliverables** |
| *Dxx - “Deliverable Title”; Leader: [ACRONYM]; Mxx* | *Description of Deliverable Dxx* |
| *Dxy - “Deliverable Title”; Leader: [ACRONYM]; Mxx* | *Description of Deliverable Dxy* |
| *Dxz - “Deliverable Title”; Leader: [ACRONYM]; Mxx* | *Description of Deliverable Dxz* |
| **Milestones** |  |
| *MSxx – Milestone Title; Mxx* | *Milestone Descritpion* |
| *MSxx – Milestone Title; Mxx* | *Milestone Descritpion* |

### Resource Allocation and Budget:

* *Justify resource needs, including personnel, equipment, and financial allocations.*
* *Provide a table summarising person-months and resource distribution.*

### Risk Management:

* *Identify risks and propose mitigation strategies. Categorise risks by likelihood and severity.*

### Management and Coordination:

* *Outline the project’s management structure, decision-making processes, and reporting mechanisms.*

# Cost Effectiveness

|  |
| --- |
| *Justify how the budget is appropriate and proportionate to the proposed activities.**Explain how value for money will be ensured throughout the project.**(Evaluation Criterion: Cost Effectiveness – 10%).* |

# Budget Overview

|  |
| --- |
| *Provide a high-level cost breakdown (personnel, equipment, indirect/direct costs).**State total requested funding (max €120,000) with justification.***Instructions:***The budget overview should follow the format of* Table 2 *below.* *It must include the cost breakdown for each partner (if applicable) and align with the work packages outlined in the implementation section.**Applicants are required to:** *Provide a clear and detailed breakdown of all costs across the following categories:*
	+ *Personnel*
	+ *Equipment*
	+ *Travel*
	+ *Subcontracting*
	+ *Other Direct Costs*
	+ *Indirect Costs (25%)*
* *Ensure all costs are justified and directly linked to the activities described in the proposal.*

**Additional Notes:*** *Ensure the budget reflects cost-effectiveness and efficient use of resources.*
* *For consortium proposals, clearly indicate the distribution of costs among partners, including any subcontracting or in-kind contributions.*
* *Provide a brief justification for any significant cost items in the narrative under Section 6.1.1 (Budget Justification).*
 |

Table 2 Estimated Budget Breakdown

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Cost Category** | **Description** | **Estimated Cost (€)** |
| **Personnel** |  |  |
| **Equipment** |  |  |
| **Travel**  |  |  |
| **Subcontracting** |  |  |
| **Other Direct Costs** |  |  |
| **Indirect Costs (25%)** |  |  |
| **Total** |  |

***Note:*** *Ensure that all cost estimates are justified and align with the project’s objectives and work plan as described in earlier sections.*

# Ethical and Legal Considerations

|  |
| --- |
| *Address GDPR and data protection compliance.**Indicate any legal requirements, ethical risks, or regulatory implications.**Demonstrate compliance with EU regulations and principles, including those on the use of AI technologies* |

# Appendices

|  |
| --- |
| **General Notes for Applicants:*** *Appendices should not exceed* ***25 pages in total***
* *Keep the content concise and relevant to avoid overwhelming evaluators with unnecessary details.*
* *Refer to appendices within the main proposal (e.g., "See Appendix 3 for CVs of key personnel") to ensure they are reviewed in context.*
 |